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Background: Research on how disclosure concerns affect health outcomes for people living with HIV (PLWH) has yielded inconsistent results. Theoretically, disclosure concerns could predict either poorer antiretroviral therapy (ART) adherence (PLWH worried about disclosure may not want to take their medication in front of others) or better ART adherence (stronger concerns may enhance treatment adherence to avoid unintentional disclosure). Furthermore, internalized stigma (which is positively associated with disclosure concerns) predicts worse ART adherence (an effect potentially in the opposite direction of the direct effect of disclosure concerns).

Setting/Methods: One hundred eighty-six PLWH initiating HIV care at 4 US clinics completed measures of disclosure concerns, internalized stigma, and ART adherence. Viral load data were obtained from medical records. We examined the indirect effect of disclosure concerns on outcomes, adjusting for the suppressor effect of internalized stigma. That is, we examined whether the association between disclosure concerns and ART adherence/viral suppression is stronger and positive when controlling for the effect of internalized stigma.

Results: Disclosure concerns were more strongly associated with better viral suppression and ART adherence when internalized stigma was in the model, suggesting that internalized stigma suppressed this association. Similarly, internalized stigma led to higher disclosure concerns, which in turn led to better ART adherence and higher likelihood of viral suppression. However, internalized stigma also had a direct effect in the opposite direction of this indirect effect.

Conclusions: Findings highlight the importance of addressing effects of internalized stigma and disclosure concerns jointly when attempting to understand effects on health outcomes among new-to-care PLWH.
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INTRODUCTION

HIV-related stigma is a social process that involves prejudice, devaluation, negative attitudes, and discrimination toward people living with HIV (PLWH). There is mounting evidence suggesting that HIV-related stigma leads to negative health behaviors and outcomes among PLWH, such as poor antiretroviral therapy (ART) adherence and lower visit adherence. A variety of HIV-related stigma dimensions have been described in the literature: negative self-image (internalized stigma), disclosure concerns, enacted stigma, anticipated stigma, and perceived stigma in the community.

Research has consistently supported associations between all of these dimensions of HIV-related stigma and worse health outcomes, except for one stigma dimension: Extant research has yielded inconsistent—even contradictory—results on the role of “disclosure concerns” in treatment adherence and health outcomes. The construct of disclosure concerns includes worrying about negative consequences of disclosing one’s HIV sero-status to others and trying to keep one’s HIV serostatus a secret. It is theoretically possible that disclosure concerns lead to worse medication adherence because PLWH who are worried about unintentional disclosure may not want to take their medication in front of others. However, it is also possible that PLWH who have disclosure concerns are motivated to be (and appear) healthy so others will not suspect their HIV status, which would lead to better ART adherence and viral suppression.

There is empirical support for both of these hypotheses for people who have been living with HIV for some time.
Research conducted with PLWH in different settings outside the United States such as Ethiopia and Netherlands has found support for a negative effect of disclosure concerns on medication adherence\(^{14,15}\) as well as for a positive effect of disclosure concerns on viral suppression among PLWH who were mostly Hispanic in the US South.\(^{16}\) More importantly, many studies (some unpublished, including several of our own analyses) in the United States, Canada, or South Africa among demographically diverse PLWH (eg, in terms of race/ethnicity: African, African American, and White; gender/sexual orientation: men who have sex with men, women; age: those who are 18 years and older, those who are between the ages of 16 and 24 or 29 years) did not find significant associations between disclosure concerns and ART adherence, viral load, or physical and mental health outcomes.\(^{14,17–22}\) The inconsistency in these findings point to the need for elucidating the complex role of disclosure concerns in health outcomes for PLWH in different contexts and through different mechanisms. Furthermore, most studies on the effect of disclosure concerns on health outcomes have been performed with individuals who have been living with HIV for many years. However, the context of initial linkage to care is a unique and critical part of the HIV care continuum. It is therefore crucial to understand how PLWH newly entering HIV care experience disclosure concerns because disclosure concerns may be a more salient and important issue for PLWH when they first start ART.\(^{21,23}\)

Furthermore, internalized HIV-related stigma, which refers to endorsing and accepting society’s negative views, labels, and perceptions about living with HIV and applying these to oneself,\(^{10–12}\) has been shown to be one of the most important stigma dimensions predicting suboptimal treatment adherence for PLWH.\(^{10,11}\) Both cross-sectional and longitudinal studies have suggested that internalized HIV-related stigma is associated with worse medication adherence\(^{7,24–26}\) and lower HIV care visit adherence.\(^{27}\) Research also suggests that internalized HIV-related stigma leads to negative health outcomes through depression\(^{7,26}\) and other psychosocial mechanisms (eg, treatment adherence self-efficacy).\(^{25}\)

Moreover, the association between one dimension of HIV-related stigma and health outcomes may be mediated by another dimension of HIV-related stigma. For example, Turan et al\(^{28}\) found that perceived community stigma leads to medication nonadherence, mediated by both internalized stigma and anticipated community stigma. Another study suggested that internalized stigma and anticipated stigma from health care workers mediate the association between experienced stigma in health care settings and lower trust in physicians,\(^{29}\) which may lead to ART nonadherence.\(^{30}\) However, little is known about the effect of disclosure concerns on health outcomes in the context of internalized HIV-related stigma. Considering that internalized stigma has a robust significant negative effect on health outcomes for PLWH and shows consistent positive correlations with disclosure concerns,\(^{12,13,19,22,31,32}\) examining these 2 dimensions of HIV-related stigma together may help explain contradictory findings on the role of disclosure concerns in HIV health outcomes.

The purpose of this study was to examine the joint associations that disclosure concerns and internalized stigma have with ART adherence and viral suppression among PLWH newly initiating HIV care. If disclosure concerns are associated with worse ART adherence, does this association remain significant when adjusting for internalized stigma? The image may be more complicated for the hypothesis that disclosure concerns are associated with better ART adherence. On the one hand, conceptually, it may be expected that stronger disclosure concerns are associated with better ART adherence\(^{16}\) because PLWH new to treatment who have stronger concerns about disclosure may view treatment adherence as one method of avoiding disclosure. For example, they may set up effective adherence systems such as private dosing reminders that do not alert others to their medication taking. On the other hand, internalized HIV-related stigma has a positive association with disclosure concerns,\(^{12,13,19,22,31,32}\) and internalized HIV-related stigma consistently predicts worse ART adherence (an effect in the opposite direction of the potential direct effect of disclosure concerns).\(^{7,24–26}\) Therefore, it is possible that internalized stigma masks or undermines the effect of disclosure concerns. That is, internalized stigma may act as a suppressor variable in the association between disclosure concerns and ART adherence (or viral suppression). In other words, when controlling for the effect of internalized HIV-related stigma, the association between disclosure concerns and ART adherence (or viral suppression) may be stronger and positive. It should be noted that we considered the suppression hypothesis as one of many possibilities and preliminary analyses veered us to focus on this possibility.

**METHOD**

**Participants and Procedures**

The sample consisted of 186 PLWH at 4 HIV clinical sites in the United States (Table 1), who participated in a larger study called “integrating ENGagement and Adherence Goals upon Entry (iENGAGE).” The iENGAGE was an intervention which aims to facilitate adjustment to living with HIV and improve adherence to care and ART for PLWH initiating HIV care by addressing HIV-related stigma and focusing problem solving, affect management, and communication. In the iENGAGE study,\(^{33,34}\) 371 PLWH were either assigned to the intervention including 4 face-to-face sessions or to the standard clinical care, and the effects of the iENGAGE intervention on HIV-related stigma and health outcomes such as ART adherence and viral suppression were examined. The details of the iENGAGE intervention are described elsewhere.\(^{33,34}\) Participants in this study included those who recently initiated outpatient HIV care for the first time at 1 of the 4 HIV clinical sites, namely, the University of Alabama at Birmingham, Johns Hopkins University, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, and the University of Washington at Seattle. All participants were enrolled within 14 days of their first outpatient HIV medical care visit at one of the study sites. All patients had confirmed no prior outpatient HIV medical care. Of participants, 16 initiated ART and achieved viral suppression after diagnosis and before their first outpatient HIV care provider visit. All
viral loads and details of ART were systematically ascertained after enrollment and based on data available in the electronic health records. ART initiation before study enrollment was ascertained by patient self-report as yes vs. no. Participants who previously received outpatient HIV care were excluded from the study. Most participants were men (148; 79.6%), 36 (19.4%) were women, and 2 (1.1%) were transgender; 112 were Black or African American, 60 White, 14 other race/ethnicity; with a mean age of 36.33 (SD = 12.78). Cross-sectional data were obtained by asking participants to complete measures using a computer-administered survey. Data on viral load were extracted from clinic records. The study was approved by the institutional review board at each site. Descriptive statistics are presented in Table 1.

**Measures**

**HIV-Related Internalized Stigma and Disclosure Concerns**

We used the 7-item negative self-image and the 8-item disclosure concerns subscales of the revised HIV Stigma Scale (7) to assess internalized HIV-related stigma and disclosure concerns, respectively. The items of the negative self-image subscale (eg, “I feel guilty because I have HIV/AIDS”) and disclosure concerns subscale (eg, “I work hard to keep my HIV/AIDS a secret”) and “Telling someone I have HIV is risky”) are rated on a Likert-type scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). Both subscales have high internal consistency and test–retest reliability as shown previously. For the negative self-image subscale, higher levels indicate higher levels of internalized stigma, and for the disclosure concerns subscale, higher levels indicate higher levels of disclosure concerns. In the current study, Cronbach’s alphas were 0.82 for HIV-related internalized stigma and 0.75 for disclosure concerns.

**HIV Clinical Outcomes**

**ART Adherence**

ART adherence was assessed with the single question “thinking about the past 4 weeks, on average how would you rate your ability to take all of your HIV antiretroviral medications as your doctor prescribed” with response options ranging from very poor to excellent. Previous evidence suggests that this item is a valid measure of adherence. We dichotomized this measure by using a cutoff as less than perfect adherence versus perfect adherence, as in previous studies using this measure, which is aligned with the robust negative predictive value of any degree of self-reported nonadherence.

**Viral Suppression**

Data on viral load were extracted from participants’ most recent clinic visits. In accordance with treatment guidelines, we dichotomized the variable as suppressed (<200 c/mL) versus not suppressed (≥200 c/mL).

**Statistical Analyses**

Suppression, confounding, and mediation are similar in terms of statistical analyses used to test them. However, there are important conceptual differences as described by MacKinnon et al. Suppression is particularly similar to confounding; the main difference between suppression and confounding is the direction of change in effects. Whereas in confounding, typically the effect of one variable is reduced when controlling for a confounder, in suppression the magnitude of the effect of one variable increases when controlling for a suppressor. An important difference between mediation on the one hand, and suppression/confounding on the other hand is that mediation implies causality (as well as temporality), whereas suppression and confounding do not. Therefore, in mediation, the ordering of predictor, mediator, and outcome is determined by theory and is fixed. However, for suppression and confounding, no temporal or causal relationship is hypothesized. Therefore, when one variable serves as a suppressor for the effect of a second variable, it may also be that the second variable serves as a suppressor for the effect of the first variable. This is referred to as mutual, or reciprocal, or cooperative suppression. In our case, examining the suppressor role of both variables are of theoretical importance. Therefore, we examined both the suppressor role of disclosure concerns in the association between internalized stigma and health outcomes as well as the suppressor role of internalized stigma in the association between disclosure concerns and health outcomes.

As mentioned above, statistically, suppression and mediation effects are tested using similar analytic procedures. When testing for mediation, one examines whether the direct effect (when the mediator is controlled in the...
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First, we tested the suppression effect of HIV-related internalized stigma in the association between HIV-related disclosure concerns and either viral suppression or ART adherence, respectively, as the outcome variable. The total effect of HIV-related disclosure concerns on viral suppression was not significant [when internalized stigma is not in the model; \(B = 0.01, \ SE = 0.04, \ P = 0.84, \ 95\% \ CI: (−0.06 \to 0.08)\]). However, when internalized stigma was added to the model, the effect of disclosure concerns was significant and positive [direct effect; \(B = 0.10, \ SE = 0.04, \ P = 0.03, \ 95\% \ CI: (0.01 \to 0.19)\)]. The indirect effect of HIV-related disclosure concerns on viral suppression with internalized HIV-related stigma in the model was also significant [\(B = −0.09, \ SE = 0.03, \ 95\% \ CI: (−0.16 \to −0.04)\)], suggesting that the effect of disclosure concerns is significantly stronger when internalized stigma is in the model, compared with when it is not. This finding supports the hypothesis that internalized stigma serves as a suppressor variable in the association between disclosure concerns and viral suppression. That is, adding internalizing stigma unmasks the significant effect of disclosure concerns on viral suppression.

Similarly, the total effect of HIV-related disclosure concerns on ART adherence was not significant [when internalized stigma is not in the model; \(B = 0.03, \ SE = 0.05, \ P = 0.49, \ 95\% \ CI: (−0.06 \to 0.13)\)]. When internalized stigma was added to the model, the effect of disclosure concerns was significant and positive [direct effect; \(B = 0.15, \ SE = 0.06, \ P = 0.01, \ 95\% \ CI: (0.04 \to 0.27)\)]. The indirect effect of HIV-related disclosure concerns on ART adherence with internalized HIV-related stigma in the model was also significant [\(B = −0.12, \ SE = 0.04, \ 95\% \ CI: (−0.21 \to −0.04)\)], suggesting a significant suppression effect of internalized HIV-related stigma.

**RESULTS**

First, we tested whether there is a suppressor effect of HIV-related internalized stigma on the association that HIV-related disclosure concerns have with 2 outcomes: viral suppression and ART adherence (using 2 separate simple mediation/suppression analyses). Second, we tested whether there is a suppressor effect of disclosure concerns on the association that HIV-related internalized stigma has with 2 outcomes: viral suppression and ART adherence. In addition, we also tested a serial (sequential) mediation/suppression path model examining whether internalized HIV-related stigma leads to viral suppression, first through disclosure concerns, and then through ART adherence (with a direct effect of internalized stigma in the opposite direction). In these analyses, we calculated 95% percentile confidence intervals (CIs) with 2000 bootstrap resamples for indirect effects using the PROCESS macro for SPSS or SPSS AMOS (which enables us to run a mediation model with a dichotomous variable). In this procedure, if the CI does not include the value zero, the indirect effect is significant, suggesting a suppression or mediation effect. In all analyses, we adjusted for race, gender, and age of participants. All analyses conducted were cross-sectional. We computed and used mean scores for each scale.

![FIGURE 1. Mediation/suppression model of viral suppression. *\(P < 0.05\), ***\(P < 0.001\).* When disclosure concerns are in the model.](image-url)
We also tested a serial mediation/suppression model depicted in Figure 3 using SPSS AMOS, which enables us to run a mediation model with a dichotomous mediator by using 2000 bootstrap resamples and 95% percentile CIs. The results suggest that internalized HIV-related stigma leads to higher HIV-related disclosure concerns, which leads to better ART adherence. However, there is also a direct effect of internalized stigma that is in the opposite direction: higher internalized stigma leads to worse ART adherence. Worse ART adherence, in turn, is associated with a lower likelihood of viral suppression. The serial indirect effect of internalized HIV-related stigma on viral suppression first through HIV-related disclosure concerns and then through ART adherence was significant and positive \( [B = 0.02, SE = 0.01, 95\% CI: (0.00 to 0.05); \text{Fig. 3}] \).

**DISCUSSION**

Initial engagement in HIV care is an overwhelming part of HIV care continuum. In the period when PLWH first enter HIV care, it is likely that disclosure concerns are a more salient issue, and understanding how disclosure concerns contribute to health outcomes is of critical importance. In this study, we examined the joint associations of disclosure concerns and internalized stigma with HIV-related health behaviors and outcomes (ie, ART adherence and viral suppression) in PLWH new to HIV care. Our findings suggest that disclosure concerns are significantly associated with higher levels of ART adherence and viral suppression when the suppressor effect of internalized stigma in this association is taken into account. In other words, adjusting for internalized HIV-related stigma unmasked and revealed a positive effect of disclosure concerns on HIV-related health outcomes. Thus, it appears that PLWH new-to-care who have concerns about disclosing their HIV status to others may show better adherence to their treatment regimen, possibly because achieving optimal adherence and viral suppression may be viewed as a way to prevent disease progression and thereby to avoid unintentional disclosure.

Prior research suggested that PLWH initiating ART have more stable elevated disclosure concerns over a period of 6 months than those who did not initiate ART.\(^{21}\) The direction of causality in this association is not clear; however, it is possible that for PLWH who are newly entering HIV care, disclosure concerns may encourage initiating and maintaining ART. Furthermore, some prior research suggests that nondisclosure of HIV serostatus to close family members is associated with better ART adherence,\(^{50}\) and that PLWH who have disclosed their HIV to their mothers and yet received low levels of HIV-specific family support have a higher viral load.\(^{51}\) It is possible that the effect of disclosure concerns on ART adherence (or viral suppression) may also depend on who specifically is the source of disclosure concerns for a person living with HIV. Future studies focusing on the specifics of when and how disclosure concerns may affect health outcomes are needed.

Our findings also suggest that HIV-related disclosure concerns may serve as a suppressor in the association that HIV-related internalized stigma has with ART adherence or with viral suppression. This finding suggests that disclosure concerns mask the effect of internalized stigma on health outcomes, which became stronger (more negative) when adjusting for disclosure concerns. Theoretically, internalized stigma may lead to higher disclosure concerns, which in turn may lead to better ART adherence and a higher likelihood of viral suppression (Figs. 1 and 2). However, research strongly suggests that internalized stigma has a direct effect that is in the opposite direction of this effect (of the effect through disclosure concerns described above), resulting in a lower likelihood of optimal ART adherence and viral suppression (possibly through deleterious psychological mechanisms such as depression\(^{7,52}\) or lower self-efficacy\(^{25}\)). Furthermore, our serial mediation analyses suggest that the effects of both internalized HIV stigma and disclosure concerns on viral suppression are mediated by ART adherence (Fig. 3).

This study had some limitations. First, we used a cross-sectional design, which makes it difficult to infer causality. Future research using longitudinal designs may contribute to our understanding of how changes in disclosure concerns over time are associated with health outcomes for PLWH. Second, our participants were recruited from well-resourced HIV clinics at major academic health centers in the United States, which may limit generalizability to other settings or geographical locations. Finally, there may be unobserved variables (eg, some moderators) of the associations between disclosure concerns and health outcomes.

Despite these limitations, this study suggests that disclosure concerns positively affect ART adherence and viral suppression among new-to-care PLWH when the effect of internalized stigma is taken into account. On the one hand, internalized stigma directly and negatively affects health outcomes for PLWH; on the other hand, it may improve these outcomes through the pathway of increased disclosure concerns. Thus, our findings highlight the importance of addressing the effects of internalized stigma and disclosure concerns jointly when attempting to understand effects on...
health behaviors and outcomes, especially among PLWH newly entering HIV care. Services and interventions need to ascertain both internalized stigma and disclosure concerns in new-to-care HIV patients and assist patients in navigating disclosure decisions and protecting their mental health.
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